

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISTIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 150 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,290	06/23/2003	Denis Gabriel Valois	10196-00308	6380	
	7590 03/29/200 ARCUS & ASSOCIAT	EXAMINER			
	LVANIA AVE., N.W.	BRUCKART, BENJAMIN R			
SUITE 100 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20004	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2155		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 DAYS 03/29/2007			PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/601,290)	VALOIS ET AL.				
,	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Benjamin F		2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI INSIDENT OF THE MAILI IN	NG DATE OF THI CFR 1.136(a). In no ever tion. period will apply and will y statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATIO nt, however, may a reply be til expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this continued to the mailing date of this continued to the continued to t	, ,			
Status								
1) 🂢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	23 June 2003						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims	,						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-79 is/are pending in the applic	cation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-79</u> are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requ	iirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	•				·			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	,		Informal Patent Application				

Detailed Action

Claims 1-79 are pending in this Office Action.

Change of Address

The change of address received on 5/20/05 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-18, 77 are drawn to an access control list validation module, classified in class 709, subclass 229.
- II. Claims 19-28, 29-31, 73-75, 32-39, 78; are drawn to a configuration validation module, classified in class 709, subclass 220.
- III. Claims 40-49, 76, 79 are drawn to a communications network security policy that manipulates connectivity data, classified in class 709, subclass 221.
- IV. Claims 50-54, are drawn to a software system, classified in class 709, subclass 223.
- V. Claims 55-63, are drawn to a software system with a parsing engine, classified in class 709, subclass 223.
- VI. Claims 64-72, are drawn to encoding instructions for detecting substantial inconsistencies with an ACL, classified in class 709, subclass 224.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reason:

Page 3

Art Unit: 2155

Invention Groups I-IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are show to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention in Group I has separate utility such access control list validation module with objects, rules, permissions and modeling. See MPEP § 806.05(c). Invention in Group II has separate utility and is a configuration validation module that accesses configuration, tests, and policy files and applies tests. Invention in Group III has separate utility as a communications network security policy for verifying configurations while manipulating connectivity information. Group IV has separate utility as a software system with configuration, test, and security databases for validation. Group V has separate utility as a software system with configuration, test, and security databases for validation and a parsing engine for instantiating computations. Group VI has separate utility such encoding instructions for detecting substantial inconsistencies with an ACL.

Inventions in Group I-VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are 1) access control list validation module with objects, rules, permissions and modeling 2) a configuration validation module that accesses configuration, tests, and policy files and applies tests 3) a communications network security policy for verifying configurations while manipulating connectivity information 4) a software system with configuration, test, and security databases for validation 5) a software system with configuration, test, and security databases for validation and a parsing engine for instantiating computations 6) encoding instructions for detecting substantial inconsistencies with an ACL..

Art Unit: 2155

Because these inventions are distinct for the reason given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II-VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is reminded that to traverse this requirement on the grounds that the groups are not patentably distinct, applicant should present evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the groups to be obvious variations of one another. If the groups are determined not to be patentably distinct and they remain in this application, any rejection of one group over prior art will apply equally to all other embodiments. See Ex parte Appeal No. 315-40, 152 USPQ 71 (Bd. App. 1965). No argument asserting patentability based on the differences between the groups will be considered once the groups have been determined to comprise a single inventive concept.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,290

Art Unit: 2155

Page 5

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin R Bruckart whose telephone number 571-272-3982.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and after final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the examiner whose telephone number is 571-272-3982.

Benjamin R Bruckart

Examiner

Art Unit 2155

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER